

# RESULTS OBTAINED ONBOARD THE INTERCOSMOS-19 SATELLITE USING THE P4 DEVICE

G.Gdalevich\*, S.Chapkanov, T.Ivanova, M.Petrunova, V.Markov

*\*Space Research Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences  
Space Research Institute, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences*

*A b s t r a c t: Albeit the P4 device was in operation to the 236<sup>th</sup> orbit only, the results obtained by means of the cylindrical probe and the spherical ion trap compared with other measurement data provided to determine:*

- 1. The fidelity of the charged particles concentration probe measurement [1];*
- 2. The possibility of low-frequency wave excitation in the main ionospheric trough (MIT) and the auroral region [2,3,4];*
- 3. The low-frequency wave propagation along the magnetic field below the MIT level [5];*
- 4. To verify experimentally the interrelation between magnetospheric and ionospheric processes [6].*

*A survey of the papers referred to and the main results obtained using the P4 device is made.*

## **1. Fidelity of the charged particles concentration probe measurement.**

Some instruments for measurement of the charged particles concentration were placed onboard the IK-19 satellite: the IS-338 ionospheric station [7], two spherical ion traps, a cylindrical Langmuir probe (the P4 device) [8], and the KM-3 device measuring electron concentration every 4 sec along with its primary function – to measure the electron plasma temperature by a high-frequency method [9]. The measurement methods used in all these instruments were analyzed and the experimental data obtained from them was compared every several orbits, so as to check the fidelity of the charged particles concentration probe measurement [1]. It should be noted that concentration measurement accuracy depends on the ion-probe plasma frequency.

The results of the measurement of the electron concentration  $n_e$  by the Langmuir probe are shown in Fig.1 (curve 2); curve 1 represents the ion-probe data  $n_i$  (IS-338); curve 3 – the device KM-3  $n_e$  data with data correction compared to the ion-probe; curve 4 –  $n_i$  data from the spherical ion trap with a “floating” potential.

Universal Moscow Time (UT); Magnetic Latitude (MLAT); Local Time (LT); and Satellite Altitude (ALT) are given along the x-axis.

It becomes evident from [1] that the data from the spherical ion trap with a “floating” potential has the highest resolution time. Concentration variations according to ion-probe and ion trap data are cophasal and have a similar pattern although the concentration values exceed the possible measurement error being most likely relevant to the partial trap “occultation” (due to the solar batteries) by the plasma flow, arising as a result of the satellite’s flight. Therefore, the Langmuir probe data is used for comparison only and the ion trap with a “floating” potential data is used to follow the fine structure of plasma concentration variations.

The ionospheric station data allows to measure  $n_e$  at a distance of 5-8 km in the presence of distinct trails on the ionogram. Taking this into account, when the Langmuir probe measurement error is about 10%, it can be maintained that the results obtained in the satellite’s neighbourhood by the Langmuir probe and the ion-probe coincide.

The spatial variations of heat plasma density and the fluctuations of the magnetic component of the field of low-frequency plasma radiation with a frequency of 15 kHz in the MIT neighbourhood depending on  $D_{st}$  are given in Fig.2.  $D_{st}$  is the variation during the plasma storm of March 9–11, 1979 (22:04 LT). The following symbols are used in the figure: 1 – MIT boundary near the equator, 2 – concentration minimum, and 3 – MIT boundary near the pole.

## **2. Possibility of low-frequency wave excitation in the MIT and auroral region.**

Experimental data is analyzed statistically in [2]. The correlation coefficients of the measured mutually perpendicular electromagnetic field components (the magnetic and electric components  $B_x$

and  $E_y$ , respectively) are measured. The correlation coefficient is quite low at ELF-frequencies, tending to unity at frequency of 15 kHz.

The low correlation coefficient at lower frequencies may be attributed to the fact that wave field structure at low frequencies is more complex compared to the field structure at higher frequencies. The ion plasma components are of great importance to wave propagation: there are waves of different kinds with different amplitudes and different wave vector orientations.

The low correlation coefficient at ELF-frequencies shows that the waves are, generally, electrostatic. It is known that electrostatic waves cannot propagate at great distances away in the ionospheric plasma. Therefore, it can be asserted that excitation of such waves occurs in a highly non-homogeneous medium [3,4].

### 3. Verification of the quasi-longitudinal propagation of low-frequency waves at mean latitudes in the high ionosphere.

As the direction diagram is large enough, the signal level practically does not change within a wide enough corporal aerial angle. In this connection, at first approximation, the  $B_x$  and  $E_y$  satellite components obviously coincide with the total wave amplitude. In this case, the relation between the magnetic and electric component amplitudes of the noise field at quasi-longitudinal wave propagation is:

$$(1) \quad B_x/E_y = 3.336 n_o$$

where  $B_x$  is magnetic field induction,  $E_y$  – electric field strength in nT/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$  and  $\mu\text{V}/\text{m}\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$  respectively, and  $n_o$  – wave refraction index at quasi-longitudinal propagation.

$$(2) \quad n_o = \omega_{oe}^2 / \omega(\omega_{be}\cos\theta - \omega)$$

where  $\omega$  and  $\omega_{oe}$  are the circular and plasma frequencies

$$\omega_{oe} = 4\pi n_o / \omega$$

$\omega_{be}$  – electron gyro-frequency,

$\theta$  - the angle between the wave normal direction and the geomagnetic field vector  $B_o$ .

The results from the calculations using formulae (1) and (2),  $\cos \theta$ , the amplitude to amplitudes  $B_x$  and  $E_y$  ratio, and the measured values of  $n_e$  are shown in Fig 3.

It is evident that the values of  $n_e$  practically coincide with the calculated ones. This gives a reason to maintain the theory of quasi-longitudinal propagation of low-frequency waves at mean latitudes in the high ionosphere.

### Conclusion

Albeit the P4 device was in operation to the 236<sup>th</sup> orbit only (later the device resumed operation), the electron concentration data obtained by it generally coincides with the ionospheric station IS-338 data. The ion concentration data can be used when  $n_e = n_i$ ; the spherical ion trap with a “floating” potential data has the highest resolution time and therefore, it is used to follow the fine structure of plasma concentration variations.

### References:

1. Гдалевич, Г. Л., В. Д. Озеров, В. Ф. Губский, В. В. Афронин, С. К. Чапкынов, Т. Н. Иванова, М. Х. Пегрунова, Ц. П. Дачев, Я. Шмилауэр, К. Кубат, Г. В. Васильев, Л. П. Гончаров, М. Д. Флигель, Ю. В. Кушнеревский, Ю. Н. Шаулин, А. Т. Карпачев. Локальная концентрация ионосферной плазмы по данным различных методов на спутнике ИНТЕРКОСМОС-19. — Българско геофизично списание, т. IX, N 2, 1983, 27-38.

2. Linkhтер, Ja. I., V. I. Larkina, G. L. Gdalevich,. Small-scale fluctuations of magnetic and electric components of the ELF and VLF wave fields in the sub-auroral topside ionosphere: characteristics of the wave field. – Journal of Atmospheric and Terrestrial Physics, Vol. 54, N 10, 1992, pp. 1311-1320.
3. Гдалевич, Г. Л., Н. И. Ижовкина, В. М. Ларкина, Я. И. Мехтер. Амплитудно-частотные характеристики ОНЧ и КНЧ-полей в неоднородной плазме субавроральной ионосферы. — Космические исследования, М., т. 32, вып. 4-5, 1994, 165-169.
4. Алтынцева, В. И. В. Ф. Губский, В. И. Ларкина. Экспериментальное доказательство квазипродольного распространения низкочастотных волн на средних широтах в верхней ионосфере. - Космические исследования, М., т. 25, вып. 3, 1987, 464-465.
5. Гивишвили, Г. В., Н. А. Коченова, Л. Н. Лещенко, М. Д. Флигель. Об одной экваториальной аномалии в ночной области F ионосферы. УДК 551.510.535.2., М., 1986, 464-465.
6. Гдалевич, Г. Л., В. Ф. Губский, Ю. Н. Минеев, Е. Д. Толстая, В. М. Ларкина. Связь магнитосферных и ионосферных процессов во время геомагнитной бури по данным спутника ИНТЕРКОСМОС-19. Космические исследования, М., т. 37, N 1, 1999, 50-56.
7. Васильев, Г. В., Л. П. Гончаров, Ю. В. Кушнеревский, В. В. Мигулин, М. Д. Флигель. Спутниковая система импульсного зондирования ионосферы ИС-338. — Аппаратура для исследования внешней ионосферы, М. ИЗМИР АН СССР, 1980, 109-119.
8. Иванова, Т., М. Пегрунова, С. Чапкынов, Г. Л., Гдалевич, В. Ф. Губский. Прибор П4 для измерения концентрации и температуры электронов, а также концентрации положительных ионов. - Аппаратура для исследования внешней ионосферы, М. ИЗМИР АН СССР, 1980, 109-119.
9. Кубат, К., Я. Клас, Я. Шмилауэр, В. В. Афонин. Прибор КМ-3 для измерения электронной температуры и распределения скоростей тепловых электронов. - Аппаратура для исследования внешней ионосферы, М. ИЗМИР АН СССР, 1980, 120-135.